# **2019 Annual Implementation Plan**

### for improving student outcomes

Brookside P-9 College (8908)



Submitted for review by Simon Sherlock (School Principal) on 13 December, 2018 at 04:48 PM Endorsed by Tony Simpson (Senior Education Improvement Leader) on 18 December, 2018 at 04:44 PM Endorsed by Rachel Morgan (School Council President) on 21 December, 2018 at 09:31 AM

# **Self-evaluation Summary - 2019**

	FISO Improvement Model Dimensions The 6 High-impact Improvement Initiatives are highlighted below in red.	Self-evaluation Level
i p	Building practice excellence	Evolving moving towards Embedding
න <u>ප</u> න	Curriculum planning and assessment	Emerging moving towards Evolving
Excellence teaching ar learning	Evidence-based high-impact teaching strategies	Emerging moving towards Evolving
。 第	Evaluating impact on learning	Emerging moving towards Evolving
_	Building leadership teams	Evolving
ssional	Instructional and shared leadership	Evolving
Professiona leadership	Strategic resource management	Evolving
<u> </u>	Vision, values and culture	Evolving

	nate Ig	Empowering students and building school pride	Emerging moving towards Evolving
	clin	Setting expectations and promoting inclusion	Emerging moving towards Evolving
	Positive of for lear	Health and wellbeing	Emerging moving towards Evolving
		Intellectual engagement and self-awareness	Emerging moving towards Evolving

'n	Building communities	Emerging
nunity ment ning	Global citizenship	Emerging
gage	Networks with schools, services and agencies	Emerging moving towards Evolving
enç	Parents and carers as partners	Emerging

#### **Enter your reflective comments**

During 2018 the College has made significant progress in developing professional leadership. The College recognised a need to build new leadership teams with a focus on instructional and shared leadership. Data literacy has been strengthened within the leadership. During the Year a new leadership structure was implemented and resourced. The School Improvement Team has led the review and implementation of a new College Vision, Mission and Values and this is shaping strategic resource management.

The Brookside College Clinical Teaching Model has provided a shared language and mechanism for professional learning across the College.

Strategic action has been taken to re-shape how the College engages the Community in learning.

There is now a need for the College to develop more a holistic approach to curriculum, pedagogy and assessment. Although assessment of learning has well established protocols across the College, assessment for learning is less developed and is recognised as an area for strategic improvement.

Considerations for 2019	Our self-evaluation reflections indicate a need to connect student assessment with learning to accelerate learner growth and enable teachers to evaluate and modify their teaching practice.  The College needs to build assessment literacy across all teams.  The analysis of student outcome data indicates that outcomes in Reading and Numeracy are inconsistent across the College. There needs to be a focus on explicit Reading instructional practices across the College. Implementing consistent Numeracy practice will continue to have a high priority as we consolidate practices already established in the College.  A specific program will be implemented to support the learning of Koori students in 2019 with oversight from a designated staff member.  An extension program is being introduced to meet the needs of students who are at least 12 months ahead of their peers in Mathematics and English.  An intervention program is being introduced to meet the needs of students who are at least 12 months behind their peers in reading with an emphasis on Years 1 - 3.
Documents that support this plan	

# **SSP Goals Targets and KIS**

Goal 1	To accelerate the learning growth of every student in English, Mathematics and Science.		
Target 1.1	<ol> <li>Each student makes at least one Victorian level of learning in each school year in English, Mathematics and Science as measured by teacher judgment and internal benchmarks assessment</li> <li>The NAPLAN Year 3 to 5 Relative Gain measures to show a) more than 25% of students making high relative gain b) less than 25% of students making low relative gain</li> <li>The percentage of Prep to Year 9 students achieving A and B grades in English, Mathematics and Science to be above the State median based on 2016 benchmark results</li> <li>The proportion of Year 3 students achieving NAPLAN bands 4, 5 and 6 for Reading, Writing and Numeracy at or above 80% during the Strategic Plan period</li> <li>The proportion of Year 5 students achieving NAPLAN bands 6, 7 and 8 for Reading, Writing and Numeracy at or above 70% during the Startegic Plan period</li> <li>Student performance in top two levels of proficiency for Critical and Creative Thinking to be above 20% in State measures</li> </ol>		
Key Improvement Strategy 1.a Building practice excellence	Develop consistent, evidence-based, Reading practices across the College.		
Key Improvement Strategy 1.b Building practice excellence	Continue the implementation of consistent, evidence-based, numeracy practices across the College.		
Goal 2	To improve student learning engagement.		
Target 2.1	Student Attitude to School Survey 'Stimulating Learning', 'School Connectedness' and 'Student Motivation' at or better than 2016 State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively		

Key Improvement Strategy 2.a Empowering students and building school pride  Implement Play Based and Project Based Learning Pedagogies across the college.	
Goal 3	To have all students stay highly connected and fully motivated as they move through the school.
Target 3.1	Student Attitude to School Survey 'Stimulating Learning', 'School Connectedness' and 'Student Motivation' at or better than 2016 State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively
Key Improvement Strategy 3.a Evidence-based high-impact teaching strategies	Focus on continuous formative assessment and monitoring impact through the Clinical Teaching Model.
Goal 4	To develop in students a strong sense of personal responsibility for their choices, behaviours and interactions.
Target 4.1	<ol> <li>Student Attitudes to School Survey 'Classroom Behaviour' at or better than the 2016 State mean of 3.36 at Years 5 and 6, and 3.10 at Years 7 to 9</li> <li>Student PAT Wellbeing measures show year on year improvement against 2016 benchmarks</li> </ol>
Key Improvement Strategy 4.a Setting expectations and promoting inclusion	To embed a consistent, calm and orderly environment that promotes a positive climate for learning through the establishment of a college wide positive behaviours framework.
Goal 5	To improve student global awareness

Target 5.1	Student local competency to be above State median.
Key Improvement Strategy 5.a Global citizenship	Implement New Pedagogies for Deeper Learning Framework with focus on 21st century skills.

### **Select Annual Goals and KIS**

Four Year Strategic Goals	Is this selected for focus this year?	Four Year Strategic Targets	12 month target  The 12 month target is an incremental step towards meeting the 4-year target, using the same data set.
To accelerate the learning growth of every student in English, Mathematics and Science.	Yes	<ol> <li>Each student makes at least one Victorian level of learning in each school year in English, Mathematics and Science as measured by teacher judgment and internal benchmarks assessment</li> <li>The NAPLAN Year 3 to 5 Relative Gain measures to show a) more than 25% of students making high relative gain b) less than 25% of students making low relative gain</li> <li>The percentage of Prep to Year 9 students achieving A and B grades in English, Mathematics and Science to be above the State median based on 2016 benchmark results</li> <li>The proportion of Year 3 students achieving NAPLAN bands 4, 5 and 6 for Reading, Writing and Numeracy at or above 80% during the Strategic Plan period</li> <li>The proportion of Year 5 students achieving NAPLAN bands 6, 7 and 8 for Reading, Writing and Numeracy at or above 70% during the Startegic Plan period</li> <li>Student performance in top two levels of proficiency for Critical and Creative Thinking to be above 20% in State measures</li> </ol>	The NAPLAN Year 3 to 5 Relative Gain measures to show a) more than 25% of students making high relative gain b) less than 25% of students making low relative gain.  Scaled Score Mean Targets Year 5 Reading 513, Writing 505, Spelling 504, Grammar and Punctuation 515, Numeracy 513 Year 7 Reading 566, Writing 541, Spelling 570, Grammar and Punctuation 548, Numeracy 560 Year 9 Reading 581, Writing 567, Spelling 583, Grammar and Punctuation 592, Numeracy 606

To improve student learning engagement.	No	Student Attitude to School Survey 'Stimulating Learning', 'School Connectedness' and 'Student Motivation' at or better than 2016 State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively	
To have all students stay highly connected and fully motivated as they move through the school.	Yes	Student Attitude to School Survey 'Stimulating Learning', 'School Connectedness' and 'Student Motivation' at or better than 2016 State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively	State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively
To develop in students a strong sense of personal responsibility for their choices, behaviours and interactions.	No	<ol> <li>Student Attitudes to School Survey 'Classroom Behaviour' at or better than the 2016 State mean of 3.36 at Years 5 and 6, and 3.10 at Years 7 to 9</li> <li>Student PAT Wellbeing measures show year on year improvement against 2016 benchmarks</li> </ol>	
To improve student global awareness	No	Student local competency to be above State median.	

Goal 1	To accelerate the learning growth of every student in English, Mathematics and Science.	
12 Month Target 1.1	The NAPLAN Year 3 to 5 Relative Gain measures to show a) more than 25% of students making high relative gain b) less than 25% of students making low relative gain.  Scaled Score Mean Targets Year 5 Reading 513, Writing 505, Spelling 504, Grammar and Punctuation 515, Numeracy 513 Year 7 Reading 566, Writing 541, Spelling 570, Grammar and Punctuation 548, Numeracy 560 Year 9 Reading 581, Writing 567, Spelling 583, Grammar and Punctuation 592, Numeracy 606	
Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?
KIS 1 Building practice excellence	Develop consistent, evidence-based, Reading practices across the College.	Yes
KIS 2 Building practice excellence	Continue the implementation of consistent, evidence-based, numeracy practices across the College.	Yes
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Reading instructional practices are not shared across the College. The College does not hold students in the top 2 bands for Reading - in Year 3 >50% students consistently score in the top 2 bands at NAPLAN by the time students reach Year 9 this has dropped to <20%. Conversely high relative growth shows the opposite pattern with the percentage of students with high relative growth increasing as they transition through the College.  A similar pattern is seen in numeracy however, the practices here are more shared and consistency is now the area of focus.	
Goal 2	To have all students stay highly connected and fully motivated as they move through the school.	
12 Month Target 2.1 State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively		or Years 7 to 9 respectively

Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?
KIS 1 Evidence-based high-impact teaching strategies	Focus on continuous formative assessment and monitoring impact through the Clinical Teaching Model.	Yes
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Our self-evaluation indicated that although assessment of learning has well established protocols across the College, assessment for learning is less developed and is recognised as an area for strategic improvement.  In addition comparison of teacher judgments and NAPLAN show that there is strong agreement between the scores from yea 5 - 9 but in Year 3 there is a significant difference indicating a need to strengthen assessment practices.  This work enables teachers and students to set meaningful goals that empower learners and enable teachers to reflect on the impact of their practice. Goal setting will support students to stay highly connected and fully motivated as they transition through the College.	

## **Define Actions, Outcomes and Activities**

Goal 1	To accelerate the learning growth of every student in English, Mathematics and Science.
12 Month Target 1.1	The NAPLAN Year 3 to 5 Relative Gain measures to show a) more than 25% of students making high relative gain b) less than 25% of students making low relative gain.  Scaled Score Mean Targets Year 5 Reading 513, Writing 505, Spelling 504, Grammar and Punctuation 515, Numeracy 513 Year 7 Reading 566, Writing 541, Spelling 570, Grammar and Punctuation 548, Numeracy 560 Year 9 Reading 581, Writing 567, Spelling 583, Grammar and Punctuation 592, Numeracy 606
KIS 1 Building practice excellence	Develop consistent, evidence-based, Reading practices across the College.
Actions	Develop a Brookside College P-9 Reading Instructional Model. Form a Reading Working group to work closely with external consultant. Develop consistent understanding of evidence based reading pedagogies. Embed Scope and Sequence and Assessment Schedule.
Outcomes	STUDENTS - understand the learning goals and success criteria - understand the lesson routine and confidently negotiate the sequence of steps/activities.  TEACHERS - explain to students the steps in the lesson, including presenting learning intentions, explicitly presenting new knowledge, identifying planned opportunities for practice, outlining questioning techniques the class will use, and describing the assessment formats - make clear connections between the learning goals,

Success Indicators	- create transparent, predictabl students - identify clear transitions between the sequence of steps to the sequence of s	<ul> <li>identify clear transitions between each step in the lesson</li> <li>plans the sequence of steps to scaffold student learning</li> <li>monitor student understanding and provides feedback.</li> <li>LEADERS</li> <li>provide regular feedback to teaching teams and individuals, based on evidence collected through observation of practice during Learning Walks</li> <li>support staff through professional learning and coaching conversations to deepen their understanding of the development of reading skills in children</li> <li>facilitate and support collaborative practices across the College</li> <li>PARENTS</li> <li>understand their child's learning goals and success criteria</li> <li>understand how they can support their child in achieving their goals</li> </ul>						
	Copy of Reading Instructional Model Development of Reading Instructional Handbook Development of Reading Non-negotiables Conduct Learning Walks Survey students Summative and benchmark data analysis							
Activities and Milestones		Who	Is this a PL Priority	When	Budget			
Engage a Reading Consultant to work with Working Group.		<ul><li>✓ Assistant Principal</li><li>✓ Principal</li></ul>	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to:	\$20,000.00			

☑ Leadership Team

☑ Literacy Leader

☐ Equity funding will

be used

\$20,000.00

Term 4

from:

Term 1

✓ PLP

Priority

Class.

Instructional Coaching with Learning Specialists and Principal

				to: Term 4	☐ Equity funding will be used	
Engage in UMNOS for 2019		☑ Leadership Team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$16,500.00  Equity funding will be used	
Implement phonological awareness programs through Multi-Lit and Speech Therapists		☑ Leadership Team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$50,000.00  Equity funding will be used	
Provide information sessions to parents to support reading home learning.		☑ Literacy Leader	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used	
Develop and implement whole College reading instructional non-negotiables.		<ul><li>✓ Leadership Team</li><li>✓ Literacy Leader</li><li>✓ School Improvement Team</li></ul>	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used	
KIS 2 Building practice excellence	Continue the implementation of consistent, evidence-based, numeracy practices across the College.					
Actions	Further refine the Brookside College P-9 Mathematics Instructional Model.  Form a Numeracy Working Group to work closely with external consultant.  Further develop consistent understanding of evidence based numeracy pedagogies.  Further embed the Scope and Sequence and Assessment Schedule.					

	Coaching to scaffold teacher implementation of problem-based learning strategies, learning centres and number talks in every mathematics classroom.  Further refine the problem-based mathematics lesson structure to include a College-wide approach to the teaching of problem-solving.
Outcomes	STUDENTS  - understand the learning goals and success criteria  - understand the lesson routine and confidently negotiate the sequence of steps/activities.  TEACHERS  - explain to students the steps in the lesson, including presenting learning intentions, explicitly presenting new knowledge, identifying planned opportunities for practice, outlining questioning techniques the class will use, and describing the assessment formats  - make clear connections between the learning goals, activities and assessment tasks  - create transparent, predictable and purposeful routines for students  - identify clear transitions between each step in the lesson  - plans the sequence of steps to scaffold student learning  - monitor student understanding and provides feedback.  LEADERS  - provide regular feedback to teaching teams and individuals, based on evidence collected through observation of practice during Learning Walks  - support staff through professional learning and coaching conversations to deepen their understanding of the development of reading skills in children  - facilitate and support collaborative practices across the College  PARENTS  - understand their child's learning goals and success criteria  - understand how they can support their child in achieving their goals
Success Indicators	Copy of refined Numeracy Instructional Model refinement of Numeracy Instructional Handbook Development of Numeracy Non-negotiables Conduct Learning Walks

	Survey students Summative and benchmark data	Survey students Summative and benchmark data analysis						
Activities and Milestones		Who	Is this a PL Priority	When	Budget			
Engage a Numeracy Consultant to work with Working Group on further refinement of problem-based learning strategies in Numeracy.		☑ Principal	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$24,000.00  ☑ Equity funding will be used			
Instructional Coaching with Learning Specialists and Principal Class.		☑ Leadership Team ☑ Numeracy Leader	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used			
Provide information sessions to parents to support numeracy home learning.		☑ Numeracy Leader	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used			
Develop and implement whole College numeracy instructional non-negotiables.		<ul><li>✓ Leadership Team</li><li>✓ Numeracy Leader</li><li>✓ School Improvement Team</li></ul>	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used			
Goal 2	To have all students stay highly connected and fully motivated as they move through the school.							
12 Month Target 2.1	State means of 4.09, 4.36 and 4.55 for Years 5 and 6 respectively and 3.14, 3.59 and 4.23 for Years 7 to 9 respectively							
KIS 1	Focus on continuous formative as	Focus on continuous formative assessment and monitoring impact through the Clinical Teaching Model.						

Evidence-based high-impact teaching strategies	
Actions	Develop Assessment for Learning Model. Form a Assessment for Learning Working Group to work closely with external consultants. Develop consistent understanding of evidence based feedback pedagogies.
Outcomes	STUDENTS  - students can articulate the goals of each lesson, and how they will have successfully achieved them  - actively engage with the learning goals to plan their own learning  - self-monitor their progress, and provide evidence they believe demonstrates they have achieved their goals  - frame future learning goals based on identified strengths and areas for improvement  TEACHERS  - assesses students' prior knowledge  - articulate learning goals and success criteria that are communicated clearly, referred to frequently and used by students to monitor and advance their own learning  - uses evidence to differentiate learning goals for groups of students based on need  - demonstrates a purpose for learning by linking a specific activity to the learning goals  - provides realistic but challenging goals, and recognises effort towards achieving them  - use a variety of formative assessment activities to help students assess their own progress  - analyse student data to reflect and review the impact of their practice on learning outcomes  LEADERS  - provide regular feedback to teaching teams and individuals, based on evidence collected through observation of practice during Learning Walks  - support staff through professional learning and coaching conversations to deepen their understanding of the development of writing skills in children  - facilitate and support collaborative practices across the College  PARENTS  - monitor their child's progress, and are provided with evidence that demonstrates their child has achieved their goals

Success Indicators	Copy of Assessment for Learning Model
	Development of Instructional Handbook
	Development of Assessment for Learning Non-negotiables
	Conduct Learning Walks
	Interviews with students

Activities and Milestones	Who	Is this a PL Priority	When	Budget
Engage consultants in Learning Intentions and Success Criteria, Goal Setting, Feedback and Developmental Rubrics with Working Group.	☑ Leadership Team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	\$30,000.00  Equity funding will be used
Use 'Embedding Formative Assessment' professional development program to support implementation of formative assessment.	<ul><li>✓ Assistant Principal</li><li>✓ PLC Leaders</li><li>✓ School Improvement Team</li></ul>	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	\$7,500.00  Equity funding will be used
Provide information sessions that enable parents to monitor their child's progress.	☑ Leadership Team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used
Develop and implement whole College formative assessment non-negotiables.	☑ Leadership Team ☑ PLC Leaders	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	\$0.00  Equity funding will be used
Instructional Coaching with Learning Specialists and Principal Class.	☑ Leadership Team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 3	\$0.00

## **Equity Funding Planner**

### **Equity Spending Totals**

Category	Total proposed budget (\$)	Spend (\$)
Equity funding associated with Activities and Milestones	\$24,000.00	0.00
Additional Equity funding	\$0.00	\$0.00
Grand Total	\$24,000.00	\$0.00

#### **Activities and Milestones**

Activities and Milestones	When	Category	Total proposed budget (\$)	Equity Spend (\$)
Engage a Numeracy Consultant to work with Working Group on further refinement of problem-based learning strategies in Numeracy.	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		\$24,000.00	
Totals	\$24,000.00			

### Additional Equity spend

Outline here any additional Equity spend for 2019	When	Category	Total proposed budget (\$)	Equity Spend (\$)
Totals			\$0.00	\$0.00

# **Professional Learning and Development Plan**

Professional Learning Priority	Who	When	Key Professional Learning Strategies	Organisational Structure	Expertise Accessed	Where
Engage a Reading Consultant to work with Working Group.	☑ Assistant Principal ☑ Principal	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	<ul> <li>✓ Planning</li> <li>✓ Collaborative</li> <li>Inquiry/Action Research</li> <li>team</li> <li>✓ Curriculum development</li> </ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions ☑ Timetabled Planning Day	☑ External consultants Working with external consultants Terri Campbell and Mardi Gorman to develop consistent reading instructional practices across the College.	☑ On-site
Instructional Coaching with Learning Specialists and Principal Class.	☑ Leadership Team ☑ Literacy Leader	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	<ul> <li>☑ Collaborative</li> <li>Inquiry/Action Research</li> <li>team</li> <li>☑ Individualised Reflection</li> <li>☑ Demonstration lessons</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions</li> <li>✓ Timetabled Planning Day</li> <li>✓ PLC/PLT Meeting</li> </ul>	☑ Leadership partners ☑ Learning Specialist	☑ On-site
Engage in UMNOS for 2019	☑ Leadership Team	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Collaborative Inquiry/Action Research team	☑ Communities of Practice	☑ School improvement partnerships	Off-site Year 2 of partnership with University of Melbourne Network of Schools with a focus on reading instruction.

Implement phonological awareness programs through Multi-Lit and Speech Therapists	☑ Leadership Team	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	<ul><li>☑ Planning</li><li>☑ Preparation</li><li>☑ Demonstration lessons</li></ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ External consultants Silver Tongues Speech pathology engaged to provide consultancy, identify student needs and provide intervention and carry out assessments.	☑ On-site
Develop and implement whole College reading instructional non-negotiables.	✓ Leadership Team ✓ Literacy Leader ✓ School Improvement Team	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	<ul><li>✓ Planning</li><li>✓ Preparation</li><li>✓ Collaborative</li><li>Inquiry/Action Research</li><li>team</li></ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site
Engage a Numeracy Consultant to work with Working Group on further refinement of problem-based learning strategies in Numeracy.	☑ Principal	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	✓ Design of formative assessments ✓ Collaborative Inquiry/Action Research team ✓ Peer observation including feedback and reflection	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ External consultants External consultancy provided by Chris Coombes as part of a continuing partnership.	☑ On-site
Instructional Coaching with Learning Specialists and Principal Class.	☑ Leadership Team ☑ Numeracy Leader	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	<ul> <li>✓ Peer observation including feedback and reflection</li> <li>✓ Individualised Reflection</li> </ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site

Develop and implement whole College numeracy instructional non-negotiables.	✓ Leadership Team ✓ Numeracy Leader ✓ School Improvement Team	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	<ul><li>✓ Planning</li><li>✓ Preparation</li><li>✓ Collaborative</li><li>Inquiry/Action Research team</li></ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site
Engage consultants in Learning Intentions and Success Criteria, Goal Setting, Feedback and Developmental Rubrics with Working Group.	☑ Leadership Team	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	<ul> <li>✓ Planning</li> <li>✓ Design of formative assessments</li> <li>✓ Collaborative Inquiry/Action Research team</li> </ul>	☑ Whole School Pupil Free Day ☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	External consultants Working with Ryan Dunn and colleagues from the Melbourne University Graduate School of Education to continue work started last year on developmental rubrics, assessment for learning and effective goal setting for students.	☑ On-site
Use 'Embedding Formative Assessment' professional development program to support implementation of formative assessment.	✓ Assistant Principal ✓ PLC Leaders ✓ School Improvement Team	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	<ul> <li>☑ Design of formative assessments</li> <li>☑ Collaborative Inquiry/Action Research team</li> <li>☑ Individualised Reflection</li> </ul>	☐ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions ☐ Communities of Practice	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site

Develop and implement whole College formative assessment non-negotiables.	☑ Leadership Team ☑ PLC Leaders	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	<ul><li>✓ Planning</li><li>✓ Preparation</li><li>✓ Collaborative</li><li>Inquiry/Action Research team</li></ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site
Instructional Coaching with Learning Specialists and Principal Class.	☑ Leadership Team	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	<ul><li>✓ Peer observation including feedback and reflection</li><li>✓ Individualised Reflection</li></ul>	☑ Formal School Meeting / Internal Professional Learning Sessions	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site